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Abstract. $M_{r}=290 \cdot 36$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1}, a=7.151$ (2), $b=16.421$ (3), $c=6.772(1) \AA, \beta=98.18(1)^{\circ}, V=$ 787.4 (2) $\AA^{3}, \quad Z=2, \quad D_{x}=1.225 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha, \lambda$ $=1.54178 \AA, \quad \mu=7.11 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=312, \quad T=$ $298 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.047$ for 986 independent reflections. The title compound, isolated from Gochnatia foliolosa (Compositae), contains a lactone ring with unusual cis fusion to the 6,7 positions of the ten-membered germacradiene ring. The cis fusion results in the ten-membered ring adopting a chair-boat conformation with the methyl groups syn and lying below the plane of the ten-membered ring. Molecular mechanics calculations are reported for the four known cis-fused germacranolides.

Introduction. The tribe Mutiseae is one of the least known tribes in the family Compositae, and its small area of distribution implies the tribe is of relatively recent evolutionary origin. The tribe is predominately American with fewer than half of the genera and less than a quarter of the species found elsewhere. Gochnatiinae is the most fragmented and widely distributed subtribe with 21 genera in the Americas and 15 in the Old World. The genus Gochnatia is composed of 68 species of which only five (Bohlmann, Zdero, Robinson \& King, 1981; Bohlmann, SchmedaHirschman, Jakupovic, King \& Robinson, 1984; Bohlmann \& Zdero, 1979; Dominguez, Franco, Cano \& Wolzak, 1978; Bohlmann, Jakupovic, Robinson \& King, 1981) have been investigated chemically.

The discovery in Gochnatia foliolosa (D. Don) D. Don ex. et A. var. foliolosa of the germacranolide

[^0](1) ( $R=$ acetyl), in which the lactone ring has closed cis at the 6 position of the germacradiene ring may be of taxonomic significance. This molecular configuration was reported for compounds (2) and (3) isolated from the genus Montanoa (Herz, Govindan \& Blount, 1980; Bohlmann \& Le Van, 1978) of the tribe Heliantheae and compound (4) isolated from Ursinia anthemoides (Samek, Holub, Rychlewska, Grabarczyk \& Drozdz, 1979; Rychlewska, 1981). The classification of Ursinia has been of some dispute (Heywood \& Humphries, 1977). The distribution of the cis-fused germacranolides within the genus, the subtribe and the tribe may assist in clarifying taxonomic problems. The germacranolide structures assigned in earlier studies of the Gochnatia will be reexamined.



(3)

(4)

Experimental. Extracts of Gochnatia foliolosa collected in Florida near Concepción, Chile, yielded a crystalline solid, m.p. $388 \mathrm{~K}, \lambda(\mathrm{MeOH})_{\max }=215 \mathrm{~nm}(\varepsilon$ 19.72), $v(\text { Nujol })_{\max }=3360,2950,2860,1760,1715,1650$, © 1985 International Union of Crystallography
$1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Prismatic-shaped crystal, $0.35 \times 0.34 \times$ 0.20 mm , Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer, $\theta: 2 \theta$ scan, variable scan rate, $2 \theta_{\max }=120^{\circ}$, graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation; lattice parameters from least squares of 15 medium-angle reflections ( $10.7 \leq 2 \theta \leq 31.3^{\circ}$ ) with angles measured by centering routine associated with diffractometer system, systematic absences ( $k$ $=2 n+1$ for $0 k 0$ ) consistent with space group $P 2_{1} ; 1$ monitored reflection (121) showed no significant change in intensity; 1089 independent reflections ( $0 \leq h \leq 7,0 \leq k \leq 16,6 \leq l \leq-6$ ), 986 had $I>3 \sigma(I)$; Lorentz and polarization, no absorption correction; direct methods (MULTAN78; Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978) located all heavy atoms; H atoms located in difference Fourier map, fixed at distance of $1.08 \AA$ by scaling but not refined; full-matrix least-squares refinement, $w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ minimized, $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)$ (derived from counting statistics); 190 parameters refined, H atoms rescaled, final $R=0.047, R_{w}=0.062$ (based on 986 intensities), $S=3.09,(\Delta / \sigma)_{\mathrm{av}}=0.05,(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.22$, highest peak in difference Fourier map $0.15 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$; locally written programs for data reduction, MULTAN78 for direct-methods' calculations and XRA Y76 (Stewart, Machin, Dickinson, Ammon, Heck \& Flack, 1976) for all others; atomic scattering factors for C and O from Cromer \& Mann (1968), H from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965). Table 1 lists atomic positional parameters and $U_{\text {eq }}$ values, Table 2 lists observed and calculated bond lengths and Table 3 lists observed and calculated valence and torsion angles.*

Discussion. Fig. 1 is an ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1971) of the title compound. The $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ cis ring junction of the lactone leads to a chair-boat conformation rather than the chair-chair conformation observed for the trans-fused germacranolides. The absolute configuration is assumed to be known from the configuration of $\mathrm{C}(7)$ (Herz, Govindan \& Blount, 1980). With reference to the lactone ring the two methyl groups lie syn and below the plane of the ten-membered ring.

Molecular mechanics calculations (MM2; Allinger \& Yuh, 1980) have been used to correlate the structures of a series of trans-fused germacranolides (Watson, 1984). Preliminary calculations on the ten-memberedring compounds indicated the observed $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths were consistently shorter than calculated, and

[^1]Table 1. Atomic positional parameters $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{2}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 2906 (8) | 3115 (4) | 12247 (9) | 60 (3) |
| C(2) | 4447 (8) | 3545 (4) | 13593 (9) | 68 (3) |
| C(3) | 6316 (7) | 3049 (4) | 13774 (10) | 71 (3) |
| C(4) | 5985 (7) | 2189 (4) | 14467 (8) | 61 (3) |
| C(5) | 5406 (7) | 1643 (4) | 13048 (8) | 56 (3) |
| C(6) | 4525 (7) | 841 (4) | 13366 (8) | 56 (3) |
| O (6) | 5538 (5) | 208 (3) | 12375 (6) | 71 (2) |
| C (7) | 2396 (7) | 725 (4) | 12426 (7) | 53 (3) |
| C(8) | 1649 (7) | 1307 (3) | 10681 (7) | 51 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 278 (5) | 879 | 9283 (5) | 60 (2) |
| C(9) | 554 (7) | 2048 (4) | 11259 (9) | 64 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1665 (7) | 2594 (4) | 12806 (8) | 56 (3) |
| C(11) | 2381 (9) | -161 (4) | 11850 (8) | 63 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 4347 (11) | -395 (4) | 11673 (8) | 74 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 4926 (8) | -1027 (3) | 11088 (7) | 104 (3) |
| C(13) | 939 (11) | -688 (4) | 11541 (9) | 91 (4) |
| C(14) | 6133 (8) | 2053 (4) | 16673 (8) | 70 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1343 (8) | 2479 (4) | 14944 (9) | 72 (3) |
| C(16) | 904 (10) | 470 (4) | 7814 (8) | 62 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(16)$ | 2541 (6) | 469 (3) | 7521 (6) | 76 (3) |
| C(17) | -667 (10) | 32 (5) | 6543 (9) | 89 (4) |

Table 2. Observed and calculated (MM2) interatomic distances for (1) ( $\AA$ )

|  | Obs. | Calc. |  | Obs. | Calc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.503 (8) | 1.504 | C(8)-C(9) | 1.528 (8) | 1.543 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.326 (9) | 1.335 | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 1.445 (5) | 1.467 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.555 (8) | 1.544 | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.515 (8) | 1.505 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.517 (9) | 1.507 | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.510 (8) | 1.504 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.336 (8) | 1.334 | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.479 (10) | 1.479 |
| C(4)-C(14) | 1.499 (8) | 1.502 | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1 \cdot 340$ (10) | 1.329 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.489 (9) | 1.489 | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.348 (8) | 1.352 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.576 (7) | 1.552* | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 1.205 (9) | 1.207 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.481 (8) | 1.472 | $\mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.330 (7) | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.554 (7) | 1.548 | $\mathrm{O}(16)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1 \cdot 215$ (9) | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.506 (9) | 1.506 | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.498 (9) | - |

the observed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ torsion angles differed considerably from 0 or $180^{\circ}$. A correlation of the trans-fused germacranolides required an increase in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ force constant, a reduction of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ torsional barrier and assignment of the lactone ring parameters. All other values assigned by the MM2 program were retained, and excellent agreement with all four possible germacradiene structures $[(E, E),(E, Z)$, $(Z, E)$ and $(Z, Z)]$ were obtained as well as with epoxide functionalities. The same parameters were used to calculate the minimum-energy conformations for (1), (2), (3) and (4).

The calculated and observed bond lengths in compounds (1), (3) and (4) agree very well with only five distances differing by more than $3 \sigma$. The two discrepancies for (1) are associated with the $C(6)-C(7)$ ring fusion and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OR}$ distance in which the naturally occurring $R=$ acetyl has been replaced by $R=\mathrm{H}$ in the calculations. (2) shows deviations of $5 \sigma$ and $6 \sigma$; however, this probably reflects an optimistic assignment
of standard deviations $(0.004 \AA)$. The valence-angle deviations [(lobs.|-|calc. $\mid) / \sigma$ ] are symmetrically distributed. The six angles in (1) that deviated by more than $3 \sigma$ are associated with the lactone ring. (2) shows larger deviations $( \pm 8 \sigma)$ than do the other three compounds; however, the e.s.d.'s of $0.3^{\circ}$ are again much smaller. Increasing the e.s.d. to $0.5^{\circ}$ leads to a comparable distribution of deviations. Although packing interactions do not appear to affect the equilibrium

Table 3. Observed and calculated (MM2) valence angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ and torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (1)

|  | Obs. | Calc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10)$ | 126.4 (5) | 127.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$ | 110.5 (5) | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4)$ | 110.0 (5) | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 116.6 (5) | 118.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(14)$ | 117.1 (5) | 115.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(14)$ | 126.0 (6) | 125.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6)$ | 125.7 (5) | 128.9* |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7)$ | 116.9 (5) | 116.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{O}(6)$ | 108.1 (4) | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{O}(6)$ | $103 \cdot 8$ (4) | 107.2* |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8)$ | 116.1 (5) | 116.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11)$ | 101.1(5) | 98.0** |
| $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11)$ | 114.1 (4) | 111.1* |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(9)$ | 115.4 (4) | 115.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 109.1 (4) | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 103.3 (4) | 104.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(10)$ | 114.3 (4) | 115.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(9)$ | 119.4 (4) | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(15)$ | 123.6 (6) | 125.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(15)$ | 116.9 (5) | 114.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12)$ | 107.5 (5) | 110.1** |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(13)$ | 129.9 (6) | $127.0^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(13)$ | 122.6 (6) | 122.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(6)$ | 109.9 (5) | 108.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(12)$ | 128.7 (7) | 128.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(12)$ | 121.3 (7) | 123.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(12)$ | 110.5 (5) | $110 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{O}(8) \mathrm{C}(16)$ | 110.5 (4) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(8) \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{O}(16)$ | 123.9 (5) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(8) \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{C}(17)$ | 111.6 (6) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(16) \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{C}(17)$ | 124.5 (6) |  |
|  | Obs. $\dagger$ | Calc. |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4)$ | -55 | -50 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(8)$ | 79 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 88 | 88 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(9)$ | -164 | -167 |
| C(3)C(4)C(5)C(6) | -163 | -168 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10)$ | 93 | 95 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7)$ | 114 | 107 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8)$ | 21 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(9)$ | -98 | -99 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(10)$ | 59 | 59 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12)$ | -22 | -22 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(6)$ | 10 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(6)$ | 9 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7)$ | -22 | -19 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11)$ | 26 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(12)$ | -169 | -171 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(12)$ | -173 | -172 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(13)$ | 158 | 159 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(12)$ | 7 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(15)$ | 13 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(14)$ | 10 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 146 | 143 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 178 | 178 |

[^2]

Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of (1). The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
distances or valence angles, the force-field parameters for the cis-fused lactone ring need to be modified.

The torsion angles are expected to be more sensitive to packing interactions. There is reasonable agreement between observed and calculated values for (1), (2) and (3); however, (4) shows some deviations as large as $\pm 19^{\circ}$. These torsion angles differ from those observed in the other compounds and from the calculated values. This suggests that packing induces a conformational change. A comparison of the solid-state and solution NMR spectra will be made in order to evaluate these differences. These studies suggest that $M M 2$ calculations with properly adjusted force-field parameters provide a good description of the equilibrium structure and can be used to predict solution proton coupling constants.

We thank the National Science Foundation (INT8214877) for financial support. This is FASTBIOS Publication No. 130.
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# 9 $\alpha$-Bromo-16 $\alpha$-methylprednisolone* (I), $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$, and $9 \alpha$-Bromoprednisolone (II), $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$ 
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#### Abstract

I): $M_{r}=453.4$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1}, \quad a=$ 6.550 (6),$\quad b=14.46$ (1), $\quad c=10.75$ (1) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $100.9(5)^{\circ}, V=999.8 \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{m}=1.505(3), D_{x}$ $=1.506 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{\alpha})=1.5418 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $28.51 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=472 \cdot 0, \quad T=293 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.072$ for 1749 unique reflections. (II): $M_{r}=439 \cdot 3$, monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{1}, \quad a=7.737(6), \quad b=14.92(1), \quad c=$ 8.94 (1) $\AA, \quad \beta=103 \cdot 6(5)^{\circ}, \quad V=1003 \cdot 1 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=2$, $D_{m}=1.452(3), \quad D_{x}=1.455 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{CuK} \mathrm{\alpha})=$ $1.5418 \AA, \quad \mu=28.27 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=456.0, \quad T=$ $293 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.075$ for 1650 unique reflections. The molecules of both compounds have very similar stereochemistry with minor differences in some torsion angles. Ring $A$ is almost planar, rings $B$ and $C$ have chair conformations while ring $D$ is a C(13) envelope. The molecules are packed by $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(17)$ (compound I) and $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(17)$ and $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ (compound II) intermolecular hydrogen bonds.


Introduction. Samples of the compounds were kindly supplied by the late Dr C. L. Hewett of Organon Laboratories Limited. The study was intended to give information on the stereochemistry of these two closely related compounds which might throw light on their physiological activity.

Experimental. $D_{m}$ measured by flotation (KI/ $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). Prismatic crystals grown from ethanol. Equi-dimensional crystals approximately $0.3 \times 0.3 \times$

[^3]0108-2701/85/030439-03\$01.50
0.3 mm selected for X-ray diffraction measurements. Cell dimensions obtained initially from rotation photographs and more accurately from high-angle reflections on Weissenberg photographs using a local least-squares program. Intensity data: Leeds-Cox integrating Weissenberg camera; multiple-film photographs taken about: (I): $a$ (layers 0-4), $b$ (layers $0-2$ ), $c$ (layers 0-4); (II): $a$ (layers 0-5), $b$ (layers 0-12). Intensities derived using a Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer, Lorentz and polarization but no absorption corrections applied. (I): 1749, (II): 1650 measured reflections ( 2290 and 2300 in Cu sphere respectively), Friedel pairs merged; index range (I): $h \pm 8, k 0 / 18, \quad l 0 / 13$; (II): $h-8 / 9, k 0 / 19, l 0 / 11$. Heavy-atom methods used to solve structures employing a locally written Fourier synthesis program. Program packages SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976), XANADU (Roberts \& Sheldrick, 1975) and PLUTO (Motherwell \& Clegg, 1978) also used, with all calculations performed on the Dundee University DEC-10 computer. Refinement on $F$ magnitudes, using blocked full-matrix least squares, with $S H E L X 76$. All atoms except $H$ refined using anisotropic temperature factors. H atoms included as fixed atoms at calculated positions, with isotropic temperature factors fixed at 1.5 times that of the parent atom. Final refinements involved: (I): 252 refined parameters, $R=0.072$, unit weights, max. shift/e.s.d. $=0.058$, av. shift/e.s.d. $=$ 0.005 , max. diff. peak $=1.00$ e $\AA^{-3}$, min. diff. peak $=-0.95 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. (II): 243 refined parameters, $R=$ 0.075 , unit weights, max. shift/e.s.d. $=0.055$, av. shift/e.s.d. $=0.004$, max. diff. peak $=0.73 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$, min. diff. peak $=-0.76 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. Scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).
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[^0]:    * On leave from the University Computing Center, Zagreb, Yugoslavia.

[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors, H -atom parameters and thermal parameters for (1), tables of observed and calculated bond lengths, valence angles and torsion angles for (1), (2), (3) and (4) and bar graphs of variances versus frequency of occurrence for observed and calculated values have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39841 ( 19 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

[^2]:    * Distances differing by more than $3 \sigma$.
    $\dagger$ E.s.d.'s = 0.8-1.0.

[^3]:    *Prednisolone is 11 $1,17 \alpha$,21-trihydroxypregna-1,4-diene-3,20dione.
    $\dagger$ Author for correspondence. Present address: Department of Physics, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, Scotland.

